UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,745	01/19/2005	Michael Richard Richardson	19939 (XA2019)	7026
23389 7590 04/02/2010 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			MCKIE, GINA M	
SUITE 300 GARDEN CIT	Y, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/521,745	RICHARDSON, MICHAEL RICHARD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GINA MCKIE	2611	

	GINA MCKIE	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ad	dress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the outer than SIX MONTHS from the control of the control	date set forth in the final rejection, whom the mailing date of the final reject  ) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN TWO				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> </ul>							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · · ·	o of Non Compliant Amendment	(DTOL 224)				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>							
non-allowable claim(s).  7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:							
/Shuwang Liu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611	/Gina McKie Examiner, Art						

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

## Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant argues that the errors in Fielder (US 5,109,417) are "created during the processing of the signal, and thus are not present in the RECEIVED signal that is to be processed" (REMARKS, page 4, lines 14-17). Applicant's amendment to claim 1 requires that the regular bursts of unwanted signal be included in the RECEIVED signal. This amendment raises a new issue because, now, the received signal must include the regular bursts of unwanted signal whereas before the unwanted signal bursts could be included in ANY signal (such as a signal previously windowed with steep transitions) and not necessarily the received signal. One of ordinary skill in the art would know that if a signal is windowed with a window that has steep transitions, discontinuities occur. The discontinuities are periodic and can be reduced by windowing with a window that posseses cosine transitions or endpoints that gradually reduce to zero.

It is also noted that the Applicant provides NO details regarding the "sinusoidal window function" having a zero crossing "substantially coinciding with the position of each of the regular bursts of unwanted signal" in the specification, the drawings, or the claims. There is no drawing to illustrate a sinusoidal window function with a "zero crossing". One of ordinary skill in the art would know that a window function, by definition, is a function that is zero-valued outside of some chosen interval. Therefore, every window function will be zero at the endpoints including the window of Fielder figure 6c.